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Abstract—The differences of two digital terrain models (DTMs) derived from airborne interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) data of short and long wavelengths are utilized for the estimation of vertical forest structures. However, when the spaceborne repeat-pass InSAR data are used, atmospheric effects must be considered. A simple method for the reduction of atmospheric effects in spaceborne repeat-pass interferometry is proposed in this letter. By subtracting a simulated interferogram using the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) DTM from the interferogram of a pair of Phased Array Type L-Band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR) InSAR data, the remaining phase includes the phase caused by the height differences of scattering phase centers (SPC) at C- and L-bands and the phases caused by atmospheric effects and other changes during the PALSAR repeat-pass period. A low-pass spatial filtering can reveal the atmospheric effect in the phase image because of the low spatial frequency of the atmospheric effects. The proper size of the filtering window can be determined by the changes of standard deviation of filtered phase images as the window size increases. The changes of the standard deviations of the filtered phase images should be almost constant when only the atmospheric effect remains. After reducing the atmospheric effects, the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM was reduced from 60.17 m ± 16.2 m to near 0 m (±0.52 m ± 4.3 m) at bare surfaces, and the correlation ($R^2$) between the mean forest height and the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM was significantly increased from 0.21 to 0.608.

Index Terms—Atmospheric effect, forest height, interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR), interferometry, synthetic aperture radar (SAR).

I. INTRODUCTION

In forested areas, the digital terrain model (DTM) derived from interferometric SAR (InSAR) data is the elevation of the scattering phase center (SPC) rather than that of the ground surface. The SPC is located at a point between the top of the forest canopy and the ground surface; its position is related to the vertical structure of forest canopy as well as to the SAR wavelength. Because a longer wavelength microwave can penetrate deeper into the forest, the height of the scattering phase center (HSPC) of a longer wavelength should be lower than that of a shorter wavelength microwave [1]–[2]. The difference between the two DTMs derived from short- and long-wavelength data (the penetration difference) can be used to estimate forest height. Kelinderfer et al. [3] estimated vegetation height using the difference of the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data and the National Elevation Datasets (NED) taken as ground surface elevation. The estimation had measurements of $R^2 = 0.79$ (RMSE = 1.1 m) in Georgia and $R^2 = 0.75$ (RMSE = 4.5 m) in California. Neiff et al. [1] used the difference between the DTMs from the X- and P-bands as a measure of vegetation height in the estimation of forest biomass. Balzter et al. [4] used the X- and L-bands InSAR data acquired by E-SAR airborne sensors to estimate the top heights of forest stands. Praks et al. [5] presented results from the FinSAR project, where the E-SAR (operating at the X- and L-bands) and the Helsinki University of Technology Scatterometer (HUTSCAT, operating at the X- and C-bands) instruments were operated together to validate tree-height retrieval algorithms for boreal forest. These studies were based on either single-pass (two antennae, e.g., SRTM) interferometry or airborne SAR data. The InSAR pair was acquired either simultaneously or within a short-time interval. In these instances, it is reasonable to assume that the ground and atmospheric conditions remained the same. However, this assumption was not valid for spaceborne repeat-pass interferometry. For example, the atmospheric condition could not be assumed to be the same for 46-day repeat-pass InSAR data from Phased Array Type L-Band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR); therefore, atmospheric effects cannot be ignored in spaceborne repeat-pass interferometry.

In measuring terrain deformation or subsidence using differential interferometric SAR (DInSAR), the atmospheric effect in InSAR data has been investigated. Massommet and Feigl [6] proposed a pair-wise logic method to identify atmospheric effects. It required at least three radar images that were sufficient to form at least two interferometric pairs spanning different intervals. Bock and Williams [7] integrated the measurement of global positioning system (GPS) into the construction of the DTM using InSAR data. Atmospheric delay can be
reduced in the InSAR data using total zenith delay that is estimated from continuous GPS networks [8]. Ferretti et al. [9] used the permanent scatterers (PS) identified from a long temporal series of InSAR images to estimate atmospheric phase contributions in InSAR data. These studies provide some solutions for the removal of atmospheric effects under certain conditions with specific requirements. These methods were based on multitemporal InSAR data or required other source data acquired simultaneously with the InSAR data; however, these methods are not practical on a regional scale because of the inaccessibility of auxiliary data. In this paper, we propose a heuristic method to reduce the atmospheric effects in repeat-pass spaceborne InSAR data for the reconstruction of a DTM or for the estimation of mean forest height using a combination of short- and long-wavelengths InSAR data.

II. METHODS

Phase differences between short- and long-wavelength InSAR data were used to estimate the vertical structure of forests in a manner similar to the usage of differential InSAR technology in surface deformation studies in which multiple InSAR data at the same wavelength are utilized. The InSAR interferogram data, such as the repeat-pass PALSAR L-band InSAR data, is mainly determined by the following factors: 1) the changes in atmospheric conditions occurring between the acquisition of master and slave SAR images; 2) the terrain deformation occurring between the acquisition of master and slave SAR images; and 3) the elevation that may be considered as the sum of a digital elevation model (DEM) and the phase center height of objects above the DEM. A bare-ground surface DEM, or a DTM from other InSAR data that does not contain atmospheric effects or surface deformation (e.g., SRTM), may be used to simulate an interferogram. After subtracting the simulated interferogram, the resulting DInSAR phase contains the phase related to the height difference between the PALSAR L-band InSAR phase center and the SRTM DTM; in addition, the DInSAR phase contains the phase components caused by factors 1) and 2) discussed above.

The sensitivities of this DInSAR phase to these factors are different. For the atmospheric effect and terrain deformation that occurred during data acquisition, the change of the propagation delay and path-length is directly related to the phase change as the following [10]:

\[ \delta \phi = 4\pi \frac{\delta h}{\lambda} \]  

(1)

Where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, \( \delta h \) is the propagation delay caused by the atmospheric effects or the displacement caused by terrain deformation, and \( \delta h \) represents the corresponding phase changes. For the elevation differences between those from two InSAR data \( h_a \), the corresponding phase is [11]

\[ \delta \phi = 4\pi \frac{B \rho \tan \theta}{\lambda} \]  

(2)

where \( \rho \) is the slant range (i.e., the distance from the antenna to ground points), \( \theta \) is the incidence angle, and \( B \) is the baseline length. It may be observed from (1) and (2) that variations in the atmosphere may cause significant errors in elevation difference. For example, if \( B = 400 \text{ m}, \lambda = 0.235 \text{ m}, \theta = 34^\circ \), and \( \rho = 800 \text{ km} \), an elevation difference of 39.625 m between two InSAR DTMs causes a phase change of \( \pi/2 \), the same phase change that would be caused by a change of one-quarter wavelength (0.05875 m) in atmospheric delay or terrain deformation. In other words, if the atmospheric change or terrain deformation of 0.05875 m is not removed, it will cause an error of 39.625 m in the InSAR DTM. The height of most trees is lower than 40 m. If the atmospheric effect or terrain deformation is not minimized, it is impossible to estimate mean forest height by using the penetration difference of two short- and long-wavelengths InSAR DTMs.

The sensitivities of the DInSAR phase to terrain deformation and atmospheric effect are the same. These two components are mixed together in the DInSAR phase data. For the measurement of terrain deformation from DInSAR phase data, the atmospheric effects must be accurately removed using the measurement of atmospheric conditions from a GPS signal or another source. To reconstruct the DTM from InSAR data or to estimate the mean forest height from the difference between InSAR DTM and other-sourced DTM/DEM, it is reasonable to assume that no terrain deformation occurs if the repeat cycle of the InSAR data is short (e.g., several tens of days). Therefore, the only phase components in the DInSAR phase image are those related to ground objects and atmospheric effects. Fortunately, the phase components of atmospheric effects should present a spatially low-frequency pattern [11] as opposed to the components of ground objects that are high frequency. Therefore, the atmospheric effect is highlighted as a low-frequency feature in the DInSAR image and can be further extracted by applying a low-pass spatial filter to the DInSAR image.

The SRTM-DTM should be free from atmospheric effects because it was derived from single-pass InSAR data; in this study, it will serve as the other-source DTM/DEM. Fig. 1 shows the workflow of the proposed method. From master and slave single-look complex images, the unwrapped InSAR phase and amplitude images can be obtained following the processes of co-registration, re-sampling, and unwrapping.
have been coded in the Repeat Orbit Interferometry Package (ROIPAC) developed at NASA JPL. The gray shaded area shown in Fig. 1 is the central section of the proposed method. The phase component of atmospheric effects can be retrieved by applying a low-pass spatial filter to the DInSAR phase image. The revealed atmospheric phase is then subtracted from the InSAR phase. An accurate InSAR DTM may be built using the baseline parameters and the corrected InSAR phase. The height difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR InSAR DTM should be the penetration difference between the C- and L-bands.

An essential step in low-pass filtering is determining the window size. If the window size is small, most high-frequency details will remain in the filtered results. As the window size increases, the high frequency details in the filtered image decrease. When the window size is large enough, the filtered image contains only the low-frequency atmospheric effects. The changes in the standard deviation of the filtered image will become steadier with increasing window size, which may then be used as the criterion to determine the window size.

III. EXPERIMENTS

A. Test Site and Data

The test site (42.5°N, 127.8°E) is a forest in China managed by Lushuife Forest Bureau near the Changbai Mountains in Fusong County, Jilin Province. The northern part of the area is mountainous, and the central and southern parts are relatively flat; most areas are covered by forest. The InSAR data used in this study was acquired by the PALSAR (HH polarization) on 07/14/2007 and 08/20/2007, respectively.

The basic unit of forest inventory map provided by the Lushuife Forest Bureau is sub-compartment. The mean forest height of each sub-compartment is given. However, the area of a sub-compartment is several tens of hectares with significant spatial heterogeneity; therefore, it is not feasible to conduct pixel-by-pixel quantitative comparisons with the penetration difference. The forest inventory data were used to show the spatial pattern of forest stands.

Field measurements of the forest were conducted in June 2007. Within the coverage of the PALSAR InSAR data, 18 circle sampling plots with 35 m in radius were established. The SRTM-DTM is approximately 0.45, while the coherence of almost 70% of the pixels is larger than 0.35. Fig. 3 shows the DInSAR phase image and its probability distribution. The dark-bright low-frequency pattern in Fig. 3(a) is the atmospheric effect that ranges from −1.5 to 1.5 rad. In Fig. 4(a)–(h) shows the filtered DInSAR phase image using different window sizes of 51 × 51, 101 × 101, 151 × 151, 201 × 201, 251 × 251, 301 × 301, 351 × 351, and 401 × 401, respectively. The high-frequency features of ground objects as shown in Fig. 4(a) are reduced as the window sizes increase. Fig. 4(i) shows the changes in the standard deviation of filtered DInSAR phase images as the window size increases, which is the difference in the standard deviations of filtered images using two neighboring window sizes. For example, the value of −0.014 at window size 151 is the difference in the standard deviations between Fig. 4(b) and (c), i.e., the decrease of the standard deviation of the phase image by using the larger filtering window. The changes of standard deviation become steady at approximately −0.09 when the window begins to become larger than 301. The filtered DInSAR phase images in Fig. 4(f)–(h) look similar to one another, indicating that the results with window sizes greater than 301 are consistent. The filtered DInSAR image using window size 301 × 301 is understood to be atmospheric effects to be removed from the PALSAR InSAR data.

Fig. 5 shows the results of the reduction of atmospheric effects using the proposed method. Fig. 5(a) is the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM that is derived from the corrected InSAR phase. The probability distribution (Fig. 5(b)) shows that the SRTM-DTM is approximately 10 m higher than the PALSAR-DTM. The positions of...
Fig. 4. Results from the low-pass filtering using different window sizes are the following: (a) $51 \times 51$; (b) $101 \times 101$; (c) $151 \times 151$; (d) $201 \times 201$; (e) $251 \times 251$; (f) $301 \times 301$; (g) $351 \times 351$; (h) $401 \times 401$; (i) Change in the standard deviation and the window size. The standard deviation of (a) is 0.442 while that of (h) is 0.358.

The field sampling plots are shown in Fig. 5(a) as yellow dots. Fig. 5(c) shows enlargements of the area that is delineated by the dashed rectangle in Fig. 5(a); Fig. 5(d) is the subset of the difference image of the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM before correction for atmospheric effects. Fig. 5(f) covers the same area with Fig. 5(c). It may be observed that the ground object becomes clear after the atmospheric effects are reduced [Fig. 5(c)]. The 18 polygons shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d) are bare grounds. The mean value of these polygons in Fig. 5(d) is 60.17 m with a standard deviation of 16.26 m; for Fig. 5(c), the mean value of the polygons is 0.52 m with a standard deviation of 4.3 m that indicates the atmospheric effects are substantially reduced. Fig. 5(e) shows the comparison between the measured mean forest height and the height difference of the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM in the 18 field sample plots. The stars and circles are the data before and after the corrections for atmospheric effects, respectively. The left and right vertical axes show data after and before correction, respectively. Before the atmospheric correction, the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM does not correlate with mean forest height. After the correction, the correlation of the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM with mean forest height shows values of $R^2=0.608$ and RMSE=4.656 m. Fig. 5(f) is the enlarged image of an area in Fig. 5(a) that is delineated by the solid rectangle, while Fig. 5(g) shows the corresponding mean forest height from the forest inventory map. The polygons of the forest sub-compartments were overlaid in both Fig. 5(f) and (g). The spatial pattern of the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR DTM is consistent with the mean forest height from the inventory map.

IV. DISCUSSION

As discussed in Section II above, the determination of the size of the filtering window is important in the proposed method. If the window size is too small, the phase components related to ground objects will remain in the filtered phase image and will be removed from the phase image after correction. Conversely, a portion of the atmospheric effect will remain in the phase image after correction. The changes in the standard deviation of the filtered image as the window size increases are used as the criterion to determine the window size. In this study, the window size increased from $51 \times 51$ to $401 \times 401$ in 50-pixel intervals. The intervals may be in increments of 10 pixels, 30 pixels or another value, as long as they are constant; if they are not constant, the changes in the standard deviation of the filtered image will become irregular.

As discussed in the Section I, there are currently several methods commonly employed to remove atmospheric effects and assist with measuring terrain deformation using DInSAR data. These methods are based on atmospheric conditions from a GPS signal or from MODIS or MERIS [12]. Although the method proposed in this article may not be as accurate as those based on accurately measured atmospheric conditions, it is a practical method that reduces the primary body of atmospheric effects because it depends only on the SRTM-DTM that is available over terrestrial areas from latitude 56°S to 60°N.
Atmospheric effects hindered the accuracy and the application of DTMs that are retrieved using spaceborne repeat-pass InSAR data. We proposed a practical method for reducing the atmospheric effect in InSAR data. The method was tested by using the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR InSAR data. The correlation of the difference between the SRTM-DTM and PALSAR-DTM with mean forest height after removing atmospheric effects showed that the proposed method works well. In our future research, the proposed method will be further examined with other InSAR data, and the influence of forest structure on the HSPC extracted using the proposed method will be investigated.

In fact, the proposed method can use any DEM/DTM that is free of atmospheric effects; the SRTM-DTM is one option. A DEM/DTM from lidar data or photogrammetry, such as LiDAR data, is another option. The improved correlation of the difference between the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR-DTM with the mean forest height after correction shows that the proposed method may significantly reduce atmospheric effects and make the estimation of mean forest height using penetration difference possible. If the goal is to accurately estimate mean forest height using the penetration difference between SRTM-DTM and PALSAR-DTM data, the influence of the seven-year time span between the acquisition of PALSAR data and SRTM-DTM data should be carefully examined.

V. CONCLUSION

Atmospheric effects hindered the accuracy and the application of DTMs that are retrieved using spaceborne repeat-pass InSAR data. We proposed a practical method for reducing the atmospheric effect in InSAR data. The method was tested by using the SRTM-DTM and the PALSAR InSAR data. The correlation of the difference between the SRTM-DTM and PALSAR-DTM with mean forest height after removing atmospheric effects showed that the proposed method works well. In our future research, the proposed method will be further examined with other InSAR data, and the influence of forest structure on the HSPC extracted using the proposed method will be investigated.
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